

DAMIEN J. MARSHALL
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
dmarshall@kslaw.com
ANDREW MICHAELSON
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
amichaelson@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 556-2100; Fax: (212) 556-2222

SUZANNE E. NERO (SBN 284894)
snero@kslaw.com
MEGHAN H. STRONG (SBN 324503)
mstrong@kslaw.com
KING & SPALDING LLP
50 California Street, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 318-1200; Fax: (415) 318-1300

ANDREW J. CERESNEY
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
aceresney@debevoise.com
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Tel: (212) 909-6000; Fax: (212) 909-6836

*Attorneys for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc.,
XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse*

MICHAEL K. KELLOGG
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
mkellogg@kellogghansen.com
REID M. FIGEL
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
rfigel@kellogghansen.com
GREGORY G. RAPAWY
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
grapawy@kellogghansen.com
BRADLEY E. OPPENHEIMER
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
boppenheimer@kellogghansen.com
BETHAN R. JONES
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
bjones@kellogghansen.com
KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL,
& FREDERICK, P.L.L.C.
Sumner Square
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
+1 (202) 326-7900

Counsel for Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

In re RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION

Case No. 4:18-cv-06753-PJH

This Document Relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIALS SHOULD BE
SEALED**

Magistrate Judge: Robert M. Illman

Having reviewed Defendants' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Materials Should Be Sealed and the Declaration of Suzanne E. Nero in support thereof, Lead Plaintiff's declaration in response, if any, and for good cause appearing, the motion is granted as follows:

Documents to be Filed Under Seal	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal
Joint Letter Brief	Entirety of the document
Ex. A, Plaintiff's Response and Objections to Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories	Entirety of the document
Ex. B, Plaintiff's Supplemental Responses and Objections to Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.'s Second Set of Requests for Admission	Entirety of the document

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: _____

By: _____

ROBERT M. ILLMAN
United States Magistrate Judge